gle concentration, applied to two sizes of conifer species growing in a nursery. Indications are, however, that where herbicides are the most practical means of controlling wilding conifer seedlings, glyphosate, metsulfuron, or picloram should be considered for application during the active growing season. Further field testing is needed to produce more detailed recommendations and to determine likely impact on native grassland vegetation surrounding wilding conifers.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges Nick Ledgard's valuable input in initiating this study, statistical assistance by Chris Frampton, and technical assistance by Forest Research Institute research staff.

References

Balneaves, J.M. and Davenhill, N.A. (1990). Triclopyr – the forest managers' alternative to 2,4,5-T? NZ Journal of Forestry Science 20(3), 295-306.

Crozier, E.R. (1990). Chemical control of wilding conifer seedlings. *Proceedings* 43rd New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference, 182-6.

Crozier, E.R. and Ledgard, N.J. (1990). Palatability of wilding conifers and control by simulated sheep browsing. In 'Alternatives to the Chemical Control of Weeds', Proceedings of an International Conference, Forest Research Institute, Rotorua, New Zealand, 25-27 July, 1989, pp. 139-43. Ministry of Forestry, FRI Bulletin 155.

Crozier, E.R., Zych T.R., and Ledgard N.J. (1988). Control of wilding conifers by applying herbicides to cut stumps. *Proceedings 41st New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference*, 160-3.

Cruttwell, C.R. (1960). Thinning by poisoning in State Forests. NZ Journal of Forestry 8(2), 238-47.

Einot, I. and Gabriel, K.R. (1975). A study of the powers of several methods of multiple comparisons. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 70, 575-83.

Hamaker, J.W., Johnston, H., Martin, R.T., and Redemann, C.T. (1963). A picolinic acid derivative: a plant growth regulator. Science 141, 363.

Hunter, G.G. and Douglas, M.H. (1984).

Spread of exotic conifers on South Island rangelands. NZ Journal of Forestry 29(1), 78-96.

Ledgard, N.J. (1988). The spread of introduced trees in New Zealand's rangelands – South Island high country experience. Tussock Grasslands and Mountain Lands Institute Review 44, 1-8.

Preest, D.S. (1985). Chemical aids to planting site preparation. *FRI Bulletin* 100, 47 p.

Radosevich S.R., Roncoroni E.J., Conrad S.G., and McHenry W.B. (1980). Seasonal tolerance of six coniferous species to eight foliage-active herbicides. *Forest Science* 26(1), 3-9.

Ray, J.W., and Davenhill, N.A. (1991). Evaluation of herbicides for the control of Pinus contorta. Proceedings 44th New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference, 21-4.

Saville, G.W. (1989). Field evaluation of Pinus radiata tolerance to triclopyr. Proceedings 42nd New Zealand Weed and Pest Control Conference, 121-3.

Post-control regeneration of vulpia

P.M. Dowling¹, B.R. Milne¹ and H.G. Kelso²,

¹ Agricultural Research and Veterinary Centre, Orange, NSW 2800, Australia. ²PO Box 143, Bathurst, NSW 2795, Australia.

Summary.

The annual grass vulpia is rapidly becoming a weed of pastures and is difficult to control. A field experiment in 1988–90 comparing the effectiveness of paraquat and glyphosate as spray-topping treatments on control of *Vulpia* spp. showed that:

- i) the optimum application time for glyphosate was earlier than for paraquat
- ii) control can be improved by increasing the application rate
- iii) control by spray-topping is transient and needs to be supplemented with other inputs (e.g., fertilizer) for longer term control.

Introduction

Vulpia (mainly *Vulpia bromoides* (L.) S.F. Gray and *V. myuros* (L.) C.C. Gmelin) is a naturalized winter growing annual grass with many undesirable attributes. Recently, it has become a major component of pastures in southern Australia.

Footnote:

This paper was presented at the First International Weed Control Congress, 17-21 February 1992, Melbourne, but did not appear in the proceedings.

Once it has invaded a pasture, vulpia is difficult to remove because of inherent tolerance to selective grass herbicides. Spraytopping in the spring with paraquat or glyphosate, or application of simazine in the winter, reduces the initial incidence of vulpia but the length of the control period is not well defined.

Materials and methods

During 1988–90, a field experiment was conducted at Bathurst NSW to investigate the longevity of control of vulpia after imposing spray-topping treatments of glyphosate and paraquat during spring 1988. The treatments were: recommended rates of glyphosate (0.16 kg ha⁻¹ a.i.) and paraquat (0.1 kg ha⁻¹ a.i.) and double rates, each applied at four different development stages (65, 78, 88, 90% peeping – seedhead visible); and an unsprayed control

Spray-topping is a technique where low rates of knockdown herbicide are applied to emerging seedheads of weeds (mainly annual grasses) in spring. The aim is to sterilize the seeds and reduce regeneration in the following season.

Results

Regeneration in 1989 was significantly reduced by spray-topping, the degree of control increasing as the rate of herbicide increased and as the timing of herbicide application approached the optimal time. Numbers of vulpia seedlings were reduced from 21 319 m⁻² on the unsprayed control to 3346 and 5040 m⁻² for recommended rates of paraquat and glyphosate, respectively and at the double rate, numbers were further reduced by 46% and 51%, respectively. Over the four application times, control on the paraquat plots increased with later application (73 to 91%) while that for glyphosate decreased (88 to 46%).

However, regeneration in 1990, after two opportunities for vulpia to seed, was greater (4193 vs. 15 535 m⁻²) where the degree of control was higher when measured in the first season after herbicide application (1989). Indeed, where no herbicide was applied in 1988, vulpia seedling numbers, while higher in 1989, were lower in 1990 (21 319 vs. 7809 m⁻²) when compared with the treatments sprayed in 1988.

Conclusions

Results show the importance of timing of herbicide application on degree of vulpia control obtained. Where initial control is poor, increasing the application rate may be an option.

The second year results are contrary to what is expected after spray-topping, and indicate the rapidity of regression if other factors (e.g., livestock management, fertilizer) are not also integrated into the control program to slow the rate of reinvasion.